

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/547,441	<b>Applicant(s)</b> NOWINSKI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> ATIBA O. FITZPATRICK	<b>Art Unit</b> 2624	

**All Participants:**

(1) ATIBA O. FITZPATRICK.

(2) Lilia Safonov.

**Date of Interview:** 14 August 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 2:30

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**  
35 USC 103

**Claims discussed:**  
1

**Prior art documents discussed:**  
Schnack

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/A. O. F./  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was advised to provide more details of the regions of interest (ROIs), so as to exclude interpretations of results of segmentations of reading on the "ROI" limitation. Such an amendment would obviate the teachings of Schnack in this regard (also including the use of histograms in segmentation). Applicant was also advised to remove the limitations "one of" in the last stanza of independent claim 1, such that all items in the following list would be required in the claim. This would overcome the prior art since no reference includes the detail of the use of ROIs for the fourth ventricle in particular.